arXiv:1602.02746v1 [cond-mat.stat-mech] 7 Feb 2016

A SIMPLE MODEL OF BOSE-EINSTEIN CONDENSATION OF INTERACTING PARTICLES

Yu.M. Poluektov*
National Science Center "Kharkov Institute of Physics and Technology", 1, Akademicheskaya St., 61108 Kharkov, Ukraine

A simple model of Bose-Einstein condensation of interacting particles is proposed. It is shown that in the condensate state the dependence of thermodynamic quantities on the interaction constant does not allow an expansion in powers of the coupling constant. Therefore it is impossible to pass to the Einstein model of condensation in an ideal Bose gas by means of a limiting passage, setting the interaction constant to zero. The account for the interaction between particles eliminates difficulties in the description of condensation available in the model of an ideal gas, which are connected with fulfilment of thermodynamic relations and an infinite value of the particle number fluctuation in the condensate phase.

Key words: Bose-Einstein condensation, heat capacity, interaction, particle number fluctuation

PACS numbers: 67.85.Jk, 67.10.-j

I. INTRODUCTION

Generalizing Bose's work [1], devoted to the statistics of photons, to the case of particles with a finite mass, Einstein [2] introduced a concept about condensation of particles of an ideal gas in the momentum space. This effect, called Bose-Einstein condensation, was subsequently used by F. London [3] and Tisza [4] to explain the phenomenon of superfluidity of liquid helium discovered by Kapitsa [5] and Allen [6]. A new splash of interest to the phenomenon of superfluidity was connected with the discovery about twenty years ago of superfluidity in atomic gases of alkali metals confined in magnetic [7, 8] and laser traps [9].

Although the phenomenon of Bose-Einstein condensation has been studied for a long time both theoretically and experimentally, it cannot be considered entirely clear at present. Two main aspects can be highlighted in this phenomenon. First, the condensation is accompanied by the accumulation of a macroscopic number of particles in the ground state. Second, as it has become clear later, the phase transition to the condensate state is accompanied by the breaking of the phase symmetry manifesting itself in the appearance of the complex field, that in fact is the cause of the phenomenon of superfluidity. The effect of the accumulation of a macroscopic number of particles in the state with the lowest energy is described already within the scope of the Bose-Einstein model of an ideal gas [2]. But, concerning the breaking of the phase symmetry, the theory of an ideal Bose gas with the condensate does not provide its description, because for that it is necessary to account for the interaction between particles, which for the first time was done in the model of a weakly nonideal Bose gas by Bogolyubov [10]. In the Bogolyubov approach the replacement of the operators of creation and annihilation by a number $a_0^+ \to \sqrt{N_0}$, $a_0 \to \sqrt{N_0}$ leads to the breaking of the phase symmetry of Hamiltonian [10]. Spatially inhomogeneous states of the Bose systems with broken phase symmetry are well described by the Gross-Pitaevskii equation for the complex function [11, 12].

Phase transitions in many-particle systems are a collective effect and conditioned by the interaction between particles, therefore phase transitions are absent in the model of an ideal gas. The only exception, as it would seem, is Bose-Einstein condensation which can be described by the model not accounting for the interparticle interaction. But the neglect of the interaction leads to quite a number of considerable difficulties. Besides the fact that the model of Bose-Einstein condensation of an ideal gas does not account for the breaking of the phase symmetry, the model itself has a number of weak points. Thus, according to general principles of statistical physics, the macroscopic properties of an arbitrary physical system can be described with the help of one of the thermodynamic potentials. It turns out often that the most convenient is the use of the grand thermodynamic potential, whose natural variables are the temperature and chemical potential. For the condensate phase in the Einstein model we are forced to postulate that the chemical potential equals zero, so that it ceases to be an independent variable. Thus, a situation arises in which the same system is described differently in different regions of the phase diagram, with the help of different independent variables. This seems unnatural and does not follow directly from the postulates of thermodynamics, which is true regardless of the microscopic nature of a considered system. Besides that, below the condensation temperature the pressure proves to be a function of only temperature and does not depend on the density. As a consequence of this, the isobaric heat capacity [13] and the isothermal compressibility become infinite [14]. A direct indication of the limitation of the model of Bose-Einstein condensation in an ideal gas is that the particle number fluctuation in the condensate phase becomes infinite [14].

*Electronic address: yuripoluektov@kipt.kharkov.ua

In this paper, a model of Bose-Einstein condensation is proposed in which the interaction between particles is taken into account. This model, similarly to the Einstein model, describes only the effect of the accumulation of particles in the ground state, but it does not describe the phenomenon of the breaking of the phase symmetry, because accounting for the breaking of the phase symmetry leads to a considerable complication of the model [15, 16]. But even in the proposed relatively simple model of condensation the account for the interaction enables to eliminate the weaknesses of the model of condensation in an ideal gas, which are indicated above.

In the proposed model the interparticle interaction is accounted for in the self-consistent field approximation, and the phase transition is described in a similar way as in the Einstein model. When accounting for the interaction, both above and below the condensation temperature, the system is characterized by the grand thermodynamic potential for which the chemical potential is an independent thermodynamic variable. The model does not have difficulties connected with fulfilment of thermodynamic relations, which are present in the model of an ideal Bose gas, and the particle number fluctuation proves to be finite.

Before proceeding to the formulation of the model, we note that all the thermodynamic characteristics of a Bose gas can be expressed in terms of the special functions

$$\Phi_s(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(s)} \int_0^\infty \frac{z^{s-1}\, dz}{e^{z-t} - 1}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\Gamma(s)$ is the gamma function. The functions (1) are defined for $t \le 0$ and can be presented in the form of the series $\Phi_s(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{nt}/n^s$. For $t > 0$, the integral in (1) diverges. For description of thermodynamic properties of a Bose gas, the functions $\Phi_s(t)$ at $s = 1/2,\ 3/2,\ 5/2$ are generally sufficient. Note that at $s > 1$, a useful relation $\Phi'_s(t) = \Phi_{s-1}(t)$ is fulfilled. Besides, $\Phi_{3/2}(0) = \zeta(3/2) = 2.612$, $\Phi_{5/2}(0) = \zeta(5/2) = 1.341$, and for $t \to -0$ $\Phi_{1/2}(t) \approx \sqrt{-\pi/t}$, where $\zeta(s)$ is the Riemann zeta function. The functions $\Phi_s(t)$ steadily increase with increasing t, and for $t \to -\infty$ the asymptotic $\Phi_s(t) \approx e^t$ holds for them. The form of some of the functions (1) is shown in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: The function $\Phi_s(t)$ (1) for different indexes: 1) $s = 1/2$, 2) $s = 3/2$, 3) $s = 5/2$.

II. SYSTEM OF INTERACTING BOSE PARTICLES WITHOUT THE CONDENSATE

In the self-consistent field model in the absence of the condensate the many-particle system of bosons of mass m is described by the equation for the quasiparticle wave functions [15, 16]

$$-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\Delta\phi_j(\mathbf{r}) + \int d\mathbf{r}' W(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}')\phi_j(\mathbf{r}') = \varepsilon_j\phi_j(\mathbf{r}), \qquad (2)$$

where the self-consistent field acting on a single particle is determined by the expression

$$W(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') \int d\mathbf{r}'' U(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}'')\rho(\mathbf{r}'',\mathbf{r}'') + \\ + U(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')\rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'), \qquad (3)$$

where $\rho(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}') = \sum_j \phi_j(\mathbf{r})\phi_j^*(\mathbf{r}')f_j$ is the one-particle density matrix, and $f_j = \left[\exp(\beta\varepsilon_j) - 1\right]^{-1}$ is the distribution function. The first term in (3) describes the direct interaction and the second one describes the exchange interaction conditioned by the symmetry of the many-particle wave function. In the spatially uniform case, which will be considered, the equation (2) is satisfied by solutions in the form of plane waves: $\phi_j(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \phi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = V^{-1/2}e^{i\mathbf{kr}}$, V is the system's volume, $\mathbf{k}$ is the wave vector, so that $j \equiv \{\mathbf{k}\}$. In case that particles interact through the delta-like potential $U(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}') = g\delta(\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}')$, the equation (2) leads to the following dispersion law of quasiparticles

$$\varepsilon_k = \frac{\hbar^2 k^2}{2m} - \mu_*, \qquad (4)$$

where, instead of the chemical potential μ, the effective dependent on the density chemical potential is present

$$\mu_* = \mu - 2\,g\,n, \qquad (5)$$

where $n = N/V$ is the total particle number density. The condition $t < 0$ is equivalent to the condition for the chemical potential $\mu < 2\,g\,n$. As mentioned, the functions (1) are defined for $t \le 0$. The cases $t < 0$ and $t = 0$ should be considered separately. Formally, this is connected with the fact that the function $\Phi_{1/2}(t)$ tends to infinity for $t \to -0$ (Fig. 1). In this section the first possibility $t < 0$ is considered, when the grand thermodynamic potential as a function of the chemical potential and temperature has the form

$$\Omega \equiv \Omega(\mu, T) = -V\left[gn^2 + \frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\Phi_{5/2}(t)\right], \qquad (6)$$

where $t \equiv \beta\mu_* = \beta(\mu - 2gn)$, and the particle number density

$$n = \frac{1}{V}\sum_k f_k = \frac{1}{\Lambda^3}\Phi_{3/2}(t). \tag{7}$$

Here $\Lambda \equiv \Lambda(T) \equiv \left(2\pi\hbar^2/mT\right)^{1/2}$ is the de Broglie thermal wavelength. The formula (7) defines the particle number density as a function of the chemical potential and temperature. It is easy to verify that the formula for the particle number density (7) follows from the thermodynamic relation $N = -\left(\partial\Omega/\partial\mu\right)_T$. The entropy also can be found both from the thermodynamic relation $S = -\left(\partial\Omega/\partial T\right)_\mu$ and from the combinatoric formula through the distribution function [14]:

$$S = \frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\left[\frac{5}{2}\,\Phi_{5/2}(t) - t\,\Phi_{3/2}(t)\right]. \tag{8}$$

The formula for the pressure follows from (6), taking into account that $p = -\Omega/V$:

$$p = gn^2 + \frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\,\Phi_{5/2}(t). \tag{9}$$

The formula for the energy can be obtained from the thermodynamic relation $E = \Omega + TS + \mu N$:

$$E = V\left[gn^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\Phi_{5/2}(t)\right]. \tag{10}$$

If we could, with the help of the formula (7), exclude the parameter t from the formulas (8) and (9), we would obtain the expressions for the entropy as a function of the volume, the particle number and temperature and for the pressure as a function of the density and temperature. But this is possible only at high temperatures. In the general case the formulas (8) and (9), with account of (7), define the entropy and the pressure parametrically as functions of thermodynamic variables, where the parameter t varies in the range $-\infty < t < 0$. The formula for the entropy coincides with the expression for the case of an ideal gas, and in the formula for the pressure a term with the interaction constant appears. We note once more that the interaction constant and the density enter into the definition of the parameter $t = \beta(\mu - 2gn)$.

Important directly measurable quantities are the heat capacities. For their calculation in the general form it is convenient to use the expressions for the total differentials of the entropy, the particle number and the pressure:

$$dS = S\frac{dV}{V} + \frac{3}{2}S\frac{dT}{T} + \frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\left(\frac{3}{2}\,\Phi_{3/2} - t\,\Phi_{1/2}\right)dt, \tag{11}$$

$$dN = N\frac{dV}{V} + \frac{3}{2}N\frac{dT}{T} + \frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\Phi_{1/2}\,dt, \tag{12}$$

$$dp = \frac{5}{2}\frac{\Phi_{5/2}}{\Lambda^3}dT + \frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\Phi_{3/2}dt + 2gn^2\frac{dN}{N} - 2gn^2\frac{dV}{V}. \tag{13}$$

Note that $d\Lambda/\Lambda = -dT/2T$. When calculating the heat capacities, it should be kept in mind that the system with a fixed number of particles is considered, so that $dN = 0$. We should also consider that $dV = 0$ for the heat capacity at a constant volume C_V, and $dp = 0$ for the heat capacity at a constant pressure C_p. As a result we find the heat capacity at a constant volume:

$$C_V = \frac{15}{4}\frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\left[\Phi_{5/2}(t) - \frac{3}{5}\frac{\Phi_{3/2}^2(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}\right]. \tag{14}$$

The heat capacity at a constant pressure is determined by the formula:

$$C_p = \frac{25}{4}\frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\frac{\Phi_{5/2}(t)\Phi_{1/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}^2(t)}\times \\ \times\left[\Phi_{5/2}(t) - \frac{3}{5}\frac{\Phi_{3/2}^2(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}\right]\frac{\left(1 + \frac{6}{5}\,\xi\frac{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}{\Phi_{5/2}(t)}\right)}{\left(1 + 2\,\xi\frac{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}\right)}. \tag{15}$$

The interaction constant enters into the formula (15) through the parameter

$$\xi \equiv \xi(T, n) = \frac{g\,n}{T}. \tag{16}$$

The formula for the heat capacity C_V (14) coincides with the respective formula for an ideal gas, and the expression for C_p contains explicitly the interaction constant, so that (15) turns into the formula for an ideal gas only for $g = 0$. In the limit of strong interaction $\xi \to \infty$ the heat capacities coincide: $C_p \to C_V$. The difference of the heat capacities is given by the formula:

$$C_p - C_V = \frac{25}{4}\frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\frac{\Phi_{3/2}^2(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}\frac{\left[\frac{\Phi_{5/2}(t)\Phi_{1/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}^2(t)} - \frac{3}{5}\right]^2}{\left(1 + 2\,\xi\frac{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}\right)}. \tag{17}$$

It is easy to verify directly that the known thermodynamic identity is satisfied:

$$C_p - C_V = -T\frac{\left(\partial p/\partial T\right)_V^2}{\left(\partial p/\partial V\right)_T}. \tag{18}$$

The calculation of the isothermal γ_T and the adiabatic γ_σ compressibilities gives:

$$\gamma_T \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial p}\right)_T = \frac{1}{nT}\left(\frac{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)} + 2\,\xi\right)^{-1}, \\ \gamma_\sigma \equiv \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{\partial n}{\partial p}\right)_\sigma = \frac{3}{5nT}\left(\frac{\Phi_{5/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}(t)} + \frac{6}{5}\,\xi\right)^{-1}. \tag{19}$$

Note that the entropy per one particle $\sigma = S/N$ depends only on the parameter t, so that for the adiabatic processes $\sigma = \text{const}$ also $t = \text{const}$. The square of speed of

sound is associated with the adiabatic compressibility by the relation $u_\sigma^2 = 1/mn\gamma_\sigma$. The ratio of the compressibilities (19) coincides with the ratio of the heat capacities:

$$\frac{\gamma_T}{\gamma_\sigma} = \frac{C_p}{C_V} = \frac{5}{3}\frac{\left(\dfrac{\Phi_{5/2}(t)}{\Phi_{3/2}(t)} + \dfrac{6}{5}\,\xi\right)}{\left(\dfrac{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)} + 2\,\xi\right)}. \qquad (20)$$

The derived formulas are simplified considerably at high temperatures, for which $n\Lambda^3 \ll 1$. Since in this limit $\Phi_s(t) \approx e^t$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
&n = \frac{e^t}{\Lambda^3}, \qquad p = nT + gn^2,\\
&E = N\left(gn + \frac{3}{2}NT\right), \qquad S = N\ln\frac{e^{5/2}}{n\Lambda^3},\\
&C_V = \frac{3}{2}N, \qquad C_p = \frac{5}{2}N\frac{\left(1 + \dfrac{6}{5}\,\xi\right)}{(1+2\,\xi)},\\
&\gamma_T = \frac{1}{nT}\,(1+2\,\xi)^{-1},\\
&\gamma_\sigma = \frac{1}{mnu_\sigma^2} = \frac{3}{5nT}\left(1 + \frac{6}{5}\,\xi\right)^{-1}.
\end{aligned} \qquad (21)$$

With neglect of the interaction these relations, naturally, turn into the formulas for a classical ideal monoatomic gas.

III. SYSTEM OF INTERACTING BOSE PARTICLES WITH THE CONDENSATE

Here we consider the case $t = 0$ separately. In this case, according to (5), the chemical potential and the total particle number density are connected by the relation

$$\mu = 2\,g\,n. \qquad (22)$$

Note that this formula differs by a factor of 2 from the respective formulas in the Gross-Pitaevskii theory [11, 12] or in the approaches based on the replacement of the operators of creation and annihilation of particles in the condensate by a c-number [17, 18]. This is connected with the fact that, as seen from the relation (3), both the direct and exchange interactions are accounted for here giving the same contribution for the point interaction, whereas the exchange interaction is not accounted for in the mentioned approaches [11, 12, 17, 18].

In contrast to the case of an ideal Bose gas where it is assumed that $\mu = 0$ in the condensate state, in this case the effective chemical potential (5) becomes zero and the real chemical potential remains to be a correct independent variable. Therefore the system, the same as in the absence of the condensate, can be characterized by the grand thermodynamic potential expressed in the variables of the chemical potential μ and temperature T:

$$\Omega \equiv \Omega(\mu, T) = -V\left[\frac{\mu^2}{4g} + \frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\zeta(5/2)\right]. \qquad (23)$$

Naturally, in this case as well the total particle number and the entropy are determined by the usual thermodynamic formulas $N = -\left(\partial\Omega/\partial\mu\right)_T$ and $S = -\left(\partial\Omega/\partial T\right)_\mu$. The energy of the condensate phase is given by the formula:

$$E = V\left[gn^2 + \frac{3}{2}\frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\zeta(5/2)\right]. \qquad (24)$$

Pay attention that the interaction constant enters into the denominator of the thermodynamic potential (23), which is, as will be discussed below, a very essential fact. And the particle number density, calculated with the help of the distribution function by the formula

$$n' = \frac{1}{\Lambda^3}\zeta(3/2), \qquad (25)$$

depends on temperature and decreases with decreasing temperature. In the case of a system with a fixed density, following Einstein's idea [2], we have to assume that the total density is a sum of the overcondensate particle number density (25) and the density of particles in the state with the lowest energy n_0, so that $n = n' + n_0$. Temperature T_B, at which the particle number density determined by the formula (25) coincides with the total density, is the critical temperature of Bose-Einstein condensation:

$$T_B = \frac{2\pi\hbar^2}{m}\left[\frac{n}{\zeta(3/2)}\right]^{2/3}. \qquad (26)$$

At this temperature the density and the de Broglie wavelength are connected by the relation $n\Lambda_B^3 = \zeta(3/2)$. In the case of the point interaction the critical temperature (26) coincides with the condensation temperature in an ideal gas. For the nonlocal interparticle interaction potential the formula (26) will contain the effective mass, but in this paper we confine ourselves to consideration of the point interaction. Thus, the particle number density in the condensate as a function of temperature is determined by the same formula as in an ideal gas:

$$n_0(T) = n\left[1 - \left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{3/2}\right]. \qquad (27)$$

The entropy and the pressure below the transition temperature are determined by the formulas:

$$S = \frac{5}{2}\frac{V}{\Lambda^3}\zeta(5/2), \qquad (28)$$

$$p = gn^2 + \frac{T}{\Lambda^3}\zeta(5/2). \qquad (29)$$

Here the formula for the entropy coincides with the case of an ideal gas, but the pressure depends not only on temperature as in an ideal gas but on the density as well. The contribution in the pressure from the density proves to be twice larger than that in the Gross-Pitaevskii theory, which is conditioned as remarked above by accounting for the exchange interaction in the self-consistent field (3). The entropy per one overcondensate particle below the transition temperature ($N' = (V/\Lambda^3)\zeta(3/2)$) does not depend on the thermodynamic variables and the mass of particles, being a universal constant (in Boltzmann's constant units) for which we introduce a special designation:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{S}{N'} = \frac{5}{2}\frac{\zeta(5/2)}{\zeta(3/2)} \approx 1.283. \tag{30}$$

The heat capacity at a constant volume is the same as in the case of an ideal gas

$$C_V = \frac{3}{2}N\sigma_0\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{3/2}, \tag{31}$$

and the isobaric heat capacity has the form

$$C_p = \frac{3}{2}N\sigma_0\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{3/2}\left[1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B}\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{5/2}\right], \tag{32}$$

where $\xi_B \equiv \xi(T_B, n) = gn/T_B$ is the parameter (16) at the condensation temperature. The difference of the heat capacities is given by the formula

$$C_p - C_V = \frac{N\sigma_0^2}{2\xi_B}\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^4. \tag{33}$$

These temperature dependencies are in accordance with the thermodynamic requirements for the behavior of heat capacities at $T \to 0$, namely for $S \sim T^n$ it should be $C_p - C_V \sim T^{2n+1}$ and $(C_p - C_V)/C_p \sim T^{n+1}$ [14]. In the present case $n = 3/2$.

It is easy to make sure that the thermodynamic identity (18) is satisfied in the condensate phase as well. In an ideal Bose gas at $T < T_B$ the pressure does not depend on the volume, so that the denominator of the right part of this formula becomes zero. Since for $g \to 0$ the isobaric heat capacity C_p becomes infinite [13], then both the left and right parts of the identity (18) become infinite for an ideal gas. The condition of thermodynamic stability requires that the difference of the heat capacities (33) be positive, so that the performed consideration is valid only for the case $g > 0$, that is when the interparticle interaction is primarily of a repulsive character. Note that the fulfilment of this condition is required both in the Bogolyubov theory of a weakly nonideal Bose gas [10] and in the Gross-Pitaevskii theory [11, 12].

The isothermal and adiabatic compessibilities at $T < T_B$ are determined by the formulas:

$$\gamma_T = \frac{1}{2gn^2}, \quad \gamma_\sigma = \frac{1}{2gn^2}\left[1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B}\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{5/2}\right]^{-1}. \tag{34}$$

In the condensate phase the ratio of the compressibilities also coincides with the ratio of the heat capacities:

$$\frac{\gamma_T}{\gamma_\sigma} = \frac{C_p}{C_V} = 1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B}\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{5/2}. \tag{35}$$

The speed of sound u_σ in the condensate phase has the form

$$u_\sigma^2 = \frac{2gn}{m}\left[1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B}\left(\frac{T}{T_B}\right)^{5/2}\right]. \tag{36}$$

The temperature-dependent contribution in the speed of sound is determined by the overcondensate particles. With neglect of the interparticle interaction, the speed of sound tends to zero as $T \to 0$. The speed of sound due to the interaction, to within a factor of 2 that appears as mentioned due to accounting for the exchange interaction, coincides with the expression derived by Bogolyubov [10].

It is convenient to express the interaction constant g in terms of a directly observable quantity – the scattering length a:

$$g = \frac{4\pi\hbar^2 a}{m}. \tag{37}$$

Thus, three characteristic lengths can be distinguished in the gas of interacting particles: the temperature-dependent de Broglie thermal wavelength Λ, the average distance between particles $l = n^{-1/3}$ and the scattering length a. The ratio $q \equiv \Lambda/l$ characterizes the role of quantum effects, that is determines the degree of "quantumness" of the system. The larger this ratio, the more considerable is the role of quantum effects. At the transition temperature this ratio equals $q_B \equiv \Lambda(T_B)/l = [\zeta(3/2)]^{1/3} \approx 1.38$, that is the de Broglie wavelength somewhat exceeds the average distance between particles. The parameter (16) can be written in the form $\xi = \xi_B(T_B/T)$, where the dimensionless quantity determining the role of the interparticle interaction at the temperature of transition into the condensate state:

$$\xi_B \equiv 2\frac{\Lambda_B^2 a}{l^3}. \tag{38}$$

As follows from the formulas (32) and (34), the isobaric heat capacity and the isothermal compressibility prove to be finite only when the interaction between particles is taken into account. In the model of an ideal gas both of these quantities become infinite [13]. This is a trivial consequence of the fact that in an ideal gas with the condensate the pressure depends only on temperature but not on the density. Therefore, the adding of heat to an ideal gas with the condensate at a constant pressure causes not the increase of temperature but the "evaporation" of the condensate [13]. The account for the interaction between particles leads to finite values of the isobaric heat capacity and the isothermal compressibility and to fulfilment of all the thermodynamic relations in the phase with Bose-Einstein condensate.

IV. BOSE SYSTEM NEAR THE TRANSITION TEMPERATURE

The most interesting is naturally the behavior of thermodynamic quantities near the temperature of transition into the condensate state. Here in calculations one should make use of the expansions

$$\Phi_{1/2}(t) \approx \sqrt{-\frac{\pi}{t}} + \zeta(1/2), \;\; \Phi_{3/2}(t) \approx \zeta(3/2) - 2\sqrt{-\pi t}, \\ \Phi_{5/2}(t) \approx \zeta(5/2) + \zeta(1/2)\, t, \tag{39}$$

being valid for $|t| \ll 1$. At $T \geq T_B$ the expansions in the parameter $\tau = (T - T_B)/T_B$ of the entropy and the pressure at a fixed number of particles and their density $n = N/V$ have the form

$$S = N\sigma_0 \left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\,\tau + \frac{3}{8}\left(1 - \frac{9}{10}\,\alpha \right)\tau^2 \right], \tag{40}$$

$$p = gn^2 + p_0 \left[1 + \frac{5}{2}\,\tau + \frac{15}{8}\left(1 - \frac{3}{10}\,\alpha \right)\tau^2 \right], \tag{41}$$

where $p_0 \equiv (2/5)\,\sigma_0 n T_B$ is the pressure at the transition temperature in an ideal Bose gas, $\alpha \equiv [\zeta(3/2)]^3/\pi\zeta(5/2) \approx 4.230$.

The behavior of the heat capacity at a constant volume is the same as in the case of an ideal gas:

$$C_V = \frac{3}{2} N\sigma_0 \left[1 + \frac{3}{2}\left(1 - \frac{3}{10}\,\alpha \right)\tau \right], \tag{42}$$

and the heat capacity at a constant pressure has the form

$$C_p = C_{pB}\big[1 + B\tau \big], \tag{43}$$

where

$$C_{pB} = \frac{3}{2}\, N\sigma_0 \left[1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B} \right] \tag{44}$$

is the isobaric heat capacity at the transition temperature. The coefficient in (43) has the form

$$B = \frac{\dfrac{3}{2}\left(1 - \dfrac{3}{10}\,\alpha \right) + \dfrac{4}{3\xi_B}\left(1 - \dfrac{9}{80}\,\alpha \right)}{1 + \dfrac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B}} - \frac{3\zeta^2(3/2)}{8\pi\xi_B}. \tag{45}$$

In an ideal gas, with approaching to the condensation temperature from the side of higher temperatures, the isobaric heat capacity tends to infinity [13]:

$$C_p \approx \frac{10}{3}\,\frac{N\sigma_0}{\alpha\tau}. \tag{46}$$

The both heat capacities are continuous at the transition temperature, but their derivatives at the transition from the high-temperature to the low-temperature phase $\Delta(\partial C/\partial T) \equiv (\partial C/\partial T)_{T_B+0} - (\partial C/\partial T)_{T_B-0}$ undergo jumps:

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\partial C_V}{\partial T} \right) = -\frac{27}{16\pi}\zeta^2(3/2)\frac{N}{T_B}, \tag{47}$$

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\partial C_p}{\partial T} \right) = -\frac{27}{16\pi}\zeta^2(3/2)\frac{N}{T_B}\left[1 + \frac{\sigma_0}{3\xi_B} \right]^2. \tag{48}$$

The jump of the derivative of the isobaric heat capacity increases with decreasing the interaction strength, and in the limit of the strong interaction $\xi_B \gg 1$ the jumps of the derivatives for the both heat capacities (47) and (48) coincide. Some dependencies of the heat capacities on temperature are presented in Fig. 2. Attention should be paid that for a rather large value of the interaction the dependence $C_p(T)$ at $T > T_B$ has a minimum (curve 2, Fig. 2), which corresponds qualitatively to the observable analogous dependence in the liquid helium [19]. The isothermal compressibility is continuous at the condensation temperature, and its derivative with respect to temperature undergoes a jump:

$$\Delta\left(\frac{\partial \gamma_T}{\partial T} \right) = -\frac{3\zeta^2(3/2)}{16\pi g^2 n^3}. \tag{49}$$

The temperature dependencies of the isothermal compressibility and the square of speed of sound are shown in Fig. 3.

Thus, in this model the transition into the condensate state, the same as in the case of an ideal gas, is the phase transition of the third kind. A somewhat different, more questionable as it seems to us, interpretation of this transition as the phase transition of the first kind is given in the book [20].



Figure 2: Dependencies of the heat capacities per one particle on temperature: 1) $C_V(T)$; 2) $C_p(T)$ for $\xi_B = 1.2$; 3) $C_p(T)$ for $\xi_B = 0.2$.



Figure 3: Temperature dependencies of: 1) the isothermal compressibility $\tilde{\gamma}_T(T) \equiv \gamma_T(T) n T_B$ and 2) the square of speed of sound $\tilde{u}_\sigma^2(T) = u_\sigma^2(T)(m/T_B)$ for the parameter $\xi_B = 0.2$.

V. THE PARTICLE NUMBER FLUCTUATION

As known [14], below the temperature of Bose-Einstein condensation the particle number fluctuation in an ideal Bose gas becomes infinite, which directly indicates the necessity of accounting for the interparticle interaction for a correct description of the condensate state. The particle number fluctuation can be calculated by the known formula [14]:

$$\left\langle (\Delta N)^2 \right\rangle = T \left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu} \right)_{T,V} . \tag{50}$$

Calculation above and below the transition temperature gives:

$$\left(\frac{\partial N}{\partial \mu} \right)_{T,V} = \begin{cases} \dfrac{N}{T \dfrac{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)} + 2gn}, & T > T_B, \\ \dfrac{N}{2gn}, & T < T_B. \end{cases} \tag{51}$$

As seen, at the condensation temperature these formulas coincide, so that the particle number fluctuation changes continuously at the transition into the condensate state. The relative particle number fluctuations $\delta_N \equiv \sqrt{\left\langle (\Delta N)^2 \right\rangle} \Big/ N$ above and below the transition temperature are determined by the formulas:

$$\delta_N = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi + \dfrac{\Phi_{3/2}(t)}{\Phi_{1/2}(t)}}}, & T > T_B, \\ \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2\xi_B}} \left(\dfrac{T}{T_B} \right)^{1/2}, & T < T_B. \end{cases} \tag{52}$$

Dependencies of the relative particle number fluctuation on temperature for the cases of strong and weak interaction are shown in Fig. 4. In the limit of high temperatures, such that $T \gg 2gn$ and $n\Lambda^3 \ll \zeta(3/2)$, the relative fluctuation is the same as in an ideal gas $\delta_N = 1/\sqrt{N}$. Near the transition temperature and in the condensate phase the relative fluctuation is substantially determined by the value of interaction: the weaker the interaction, the larger is the fluctuation near the transition temperature. The derivative of the relative fluctuation on temperature undergoes a jump at T_B:

$$\Delta \left(\frac{\partial \delta_N}{\partial T} \right) = -\frac{3\zeta^2(3/2)}{16\pi\sqrt{2}} \frac{1}{\xi_B^{3/2}\sqrt{N}}. \tag{53}$$

Thus, as it should be expected, the account for the interparticle interaction eliminates a serious drawback in the model of condensation in an ideal Bose gas consisting in an infinite value of the fluctuation of the number of particles in the condensate phase.



Figure 4: Temperature dependencies of the relative particle number fluctuation $\delta_N \sqrt{N}$ for different values of the interaction: 1) $\xi_B = 0.2$; 2) $\xi_B = 0.4$; 3) $\xi_B = 1.0$.

VI. CONCLUSION

The model of Bose-Einstein condensation of interacting particles, formulated in this paper, allows to make some conclusions about the reason of actual nonapplicability of the model of an ideal gas for the Bose systems at low temperatures. A system of a large number of particles, interacting via the pair potential $U(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}') = g\, u(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$, can be described with the help of the grand thermodynamic potential $\Omega = \Omega(T, \mu; g)$ which is a function of the independent thermodynamic variables T, μ and the interaction constant g. The total number of particles in the system $N = -\left(\partial\Omega/\partial\mu\right)_{V,T} = N(T, \mu; g)$ is also a function of these quantities. In order for the transition to be possible to the model of an ideal gas in some range of values of the variables T, μ in the system with a

constant volume and a fixed number of particles N_0, the finite limits must exist

$$\lim_{g\to 0}\Omega(T,\mu;g)=\Omega_0(T,\mu),\quad \lim_{g\to 0}N(T,\mu;g)=N_0. \quad (54)$$

A many-particle system of interacting particles can be in different phase states for many of which the limiting passages (54) can either not exist. Thus, for example, in the case of a normal Fermi liquid such limiting passages are possible. At that the limit $g \to 0$ means "switching off" the interaction and the transition from a Fermi liquid to a Fermi gas. But if a Fermi system is in the superfluid or the superconducting state, then transitions from these states into the state of noninteracting particles are impossible. This is conditioned by that here the dependence of the binding energy on the interaction constant is such that it cannot be expanded in powers of g. In its time this algebraic difficulty was a considerable obstacle for development of the superconductivity theory [21].

As seen from the expression for the thermodynamic potential (23), completely the same situation takes place in the case of the system of Bose particles in the presence of the condensate. A feature of the Bose systems is that for them there do not exist phases at low temperatures, from which the transition to the noninteracting system could be possible by means of the limiting passages (54). Indeed, the interaction constant in the thermodynamic potential (23) is in the denominator, so that for $g \to 0$ the potential becomes infinite. Formally this difficulty can be bypassed, that Einstein has done [2], by fixing the chemical potential, setting it zero and thus passing to consideration of the system with a variable number of particles. Then the term which is singular in the interaction constant drops out from the thermodynamic potential (23). However, as discussed in this paper, this leads to difficulties connected with the consistency of thermodynamic description and the fulfilment of some thermodynamic relations, as well as to an infinite value of the fluctuation of the number of particles. One more peculiarity of the Bose systems consists in that the transition temperature into the condensate state (26), in contrast to the superfluid Fermi systems, does not contain the interaction constant. This somewhat conceals a fundamental importance of accounting for the interaction between particles at the transition into the condensate phase.

In this paper it is shown on the example of a simple model how the account for the interaction between particles enables to eliminate the difficulties of the model of an ideal Bose gas. Although the presence of the singularity in the interaction constant in the thermodynamic potential (23) is demonstrated in a simplified model, this is in fact true in more realistic models. Notice also that the performed consideration indicates that the perturbation theory for the Bose systems with the condensate, which is based on the choice of the model of an ideal gas as the main approximation, cannot be consistent [17, 18]. In constructing the perturbation theory the effects of the interaction between particles should be approximately taken into account already in the main approximation, as it can be done, for example, in the self-consistent field model [16].

[1] S.N. Bose, Plancks gesetz und lichtquanten hypothese, Z. Phys. **26**(1), 178 – 181 (1924).

[2] A. Einstein, Quantum theory of the monatomic ideal gas, Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Physikalisch-mathematische Klasse, 261 – 267, 1924; 3 – 14, 1925. In a book:
A. Einstein, A collection of scientific works, Vol. 3, Nauka, Moscow, 481 – 511 (1966).

[3] F. London, The λ - phenomenon of liquid helium and the Bose-Einstein degeneracy, Nature **141**, 643 (1938).

[4] L. Tisza, Transport phenomena in helium II, Nature **141**, 913 (1938).

[5] P.L. Kapitsa, Viscosity of liquid helium below the λ-point, Nature **141**, 74 (1938).

[6] J.F. Allen, H. Jones, New phenomena connected with heat flow in helium II, Nature **141**, 234 (1938).

[7] L. Pitaevskii, S. Stringari, Bose-Einstein condensation, Oxford University Press, USA, 492 p. (2003).

[8] C.H. Pethick, H. Smith, Bose-Einstein condensation in dilute gases, Cambridge University Press, 402 p. (2002).

[9] L.P. Pitaevskii, Bose-Einstein condensates in a laser radiation field, Phys. Usp. **49**, 333 – 351 (2006).

[10] N.N. Bogolyubov, On the theory of superfluidity, J. Phys. USSR **11**, 23 – 32 (1947); Izv. AN SSSR, Ser. Fiz. **11**, 77 – 90 (1947).

[11] E.P. Gross, Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems, Il Nuovo Cimento **20**, 454 – 477 (1961).

[12] L.P. Pitaevskii, Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas, Sov. Phys. JETP **13**, 451 – 454 (1961).

[13] Yu.M. Poluektov, Isobaric heat capacity of an ideal Bose gas, Russ. Phys. J. **44** (6), 627 – 630 (2001).

[14] L.D. Landau, E.M. Lifshitz, Statistical physics, Vol. 5 (Part 1), Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 544 p. (1980).

[15] Yu.M. Poluektov, Self-consistent field model for spatially inhomogeneous Bose systems, Low Temp. Phys. **28**, 429 – 441 (2002).

[16] Yu.M. Poluektov, On the quantum-field description of many-particle Bose systems with spontaneously broken symmetry, Ukr. J. Phys. **52** (6), 579 – 595 (2007); arXiv:1306.2103[cond-mat.stat-mech].

[17] S.T. Beliaev, Application of the methods of quantum field theory to a system of bosons, Sov. Phys. JETP **7**, 289 – 299 (1958).

[18] N.M. Hugenholtz, D. Pines, Ground-state energy and excitation spectrum of a system of interacting bosons, Phys. Rev. **116**, 489 – 506 (1959).

[19] M. Buckingham, W. Fairbank, The nature of lambda-transition in liquid helium, Prog. in Low Temp. Phys. **3**, 80 – 112 (1961).

[20] K. Huang, Statistical mechanics, Wiley, 493 p. (1987).

[21] P.G. de Gennes, Superconductivity of metals and alloys, Benjamin, New York, 274 p. (1966).